



## **APPLICATION NOTES**

### - Centrifugal Fans -

The energy savings potential for your fan based system when upgrading to **US Drives Variable Frequency Drives (VFD's)** is dependent upon several factors. Some of which are the original design philosophy of the system, the flow modulation method, system duty cycle, and your cost of electricity.

If the original design philosophy was to design for the worst case maximum flow condition for a future requirement or the designer used the usual 20% oversizing criteria, your potential for savings is very good. If, however, expansions have occurred over time and the system is near full flow capacity, your potential for savings may be limited.

The existing flow modulation method used on the system will determine the potential for energy savings when using VFD'. The savings potential is quite large if there is no modulation present as in the case of **Constant Volume** or **Uncontrolled** systems. **Outlet Damper** controlled systems use less energy than those using constant volume but the savings potential when using VFD's is still quite high. Systems using **Inlet Guide Vanes** are even more energy efficient than the above methods, but still leave the possibility for savings. Figure 1 illustrates the system curves for the above mentioned flow control methods.

The **Duty Cycle** of your system (where the system operates and for how long) will also affect potential savings. If, for instance, the system tends to operate close to the **Design Point** for the majority of the time, the savings potential through speed control is limited. On the other hand, if the system is operating at reduced flows for extended periods of time, the potential savings by using VFD's is great.

Obviously, the cost of electricity plays a major role in your consideration of whether motor speed control makes economic sense. If the rate of electricity is \$0.02 per KWHr, the chances are slim that you'll be able to cost justify a Variable Frequency Drive for your system. However, If the electricity rate is \$0.10 per KWHr or higher, you can expect to show fast paybacks for virtually any system.

Table 1 gives an indication of the energy savings realized by applying **US DRIVES VFD's** to building fans. Although each system has its own characteristics, (fan curve, fan efficiency, design point, duct losses, motor efficiency, etc.) the typical

### Energy Savings / VFD Payback Analysis

savings expected on different motors can be estimated.

Table 1
Typical \$ Saved Per Year On HVAC Building
Fans*

	Constant	Output	Inlet	With US
	Flow	Damper	Vane	Drives
		Control	Control	VFD
30 HP	None	\$3,200	\$9,000	\$15,200
50 HP	None	\$5,400	\$15,000	\$25,400
100 HP	None	\$10,800	\$30,000	\$50,800
250 HP	None	\$27,200	\$75,400	\$126,600
400 HP	None	\$43,400	\$120,600	\$202,400

\*Based on a conservative \$.10 per kilowatt hour and 8000 hours of operation per year.

The information necessary to run a VFD Payback Analysis for your fan system is indicated on the "Centrifugal Fans Energy Savings Program Data" sheet (Doc. # 3010).





Figure 1.





APPLICATION NOTES					
- Centrifugal Fans -	Ene	rgy Savi	ngs / V	/FD P	ayback Analysis
CUSTOMER DATA:			D	ATE: _	
CUSTOMER NAME					
PROJECT NAME					
CITY STATE	PROV	ZIP/I	POSTAI		E
CONTACT	PHONE _		_		
APPLICATION PARAMETERS:			D	ΑΤΑ	
FAN EFFICIENCY					%
DESIGN FLOW					CFM
DESIGN PRESSURE					PSI
					IN. OF WATER
MOTOR HP					HP
MOTOR VOLTAGE					VOLTS
MOTOR EFFICIENCY					%
COST OF ELECTRICITY					/KWH
METHOD OF CONTROL (SPECIF	Y 1, 2 or 3)				SELECTION
1: UNCONTROLLED 2: OUTLET DAMPER 3. INLET VANE					
DUTY CYCLE (SPECIFY 1 or 2)					SELECTION
1: USE TYPICAL DUTY CYCLE AND <b>SPECIF</b> 2: USER SUPPLIED (SEE BELOW)	Y TOTAL OPERA	TING HOUR	S/YEAR _		HOURS
DUTY CYCLE DATA:					
OPERATING POINT 1 2	3 4	5	67	, E	3 TOTALS
% FLOW					
HOURS					





## APPLICATION NOTES

## - Centrifugal Pumps -

The energy savings potential for your pump based system when upgrading to **US Drives Variable Frequency Drives (VFD's)** is dependent upon several factors. Some of which are the original design philosophy of the pump system, the flow modulation method, system duty cycle, and your cost of electricity.

If the original design philosophy was to design for the worst case maximum flow condition for a future requirement or the designer used the usual 20% oversizing criteria, your potential for savings is very good. If, however, expansions have occurred over time and the system is near full flow capacity, your potential for savings may be limited.

The VFD system curve is derived by selecting an operating point on the desired pump curve and connecting the operating points of the revised pump curve as calculated by the affinity laws through the static head point, (SH). If the static head is high, the system curve can approach a Constant Pressure design (System C.P.). If the static head is low, the system curve will resemble the VFD system curve shown in Figure 1. Basically, the lower the static head is, the greater the energy savings that will be achieved by using VFD's. This does not mean that savings can not be realized by using VFD's on a constant pressure system - each installation must be evaluated on its own merit.

The existing flow modulation method used on the system will also affect the potential for energy savings when using VFD's. If **Bypass** Control is used, the system is always operating at point DP. If the system uses **Outlet Valve** Control, it operates along the pump curve from point DP to point P3. If a VFD is being used for pump speed control, the system operates along the VFD system curve from point DP to point V3.

The savings potential is quite large if there is no modulation present as in the case of **Uncontrolled** or **Constant Flow** systems. **Outlet Valve** controlled systems use less energy than those using constant flow.

The **Duty Cycle** of your system (where the system operates and for how long) is another factor that will affect potential savings. If, for instance, the system tends to operate close to the **Design Point** for the majority of the time, the savings potential through speed control is limited. On the other hand, if the system is operating at reduced flows for extended

## Energy Savings / VFD Payback Analysis

periods of time, the potential savings by using VFD's is great.

Obviously, the cost of electricity plays a major role in your consideration of whether motor speed control makes economic sense. If the rate of electricity is \$0.02 per KWHr, the chances are slim that you'll be able to cost justify a Variable Frequency Drive for your system. However, If the electricity rate is \$0.10 per KWHr or higher, you can expect to show fast paybacks for virtually any system.

Table 1 gives an indication of the energy savings realized by applying **US DRIVES VFD's** to centrifugal pumps. Although each system has its own characteristics, (pump curve, static head, pipe losses, pump efficiency, etc.) the typical savings expected on different motors can be estimated.

Table 1
Typical \$ Saved Per Year On HVAC Centrifugal
Pumps*

-			
	Constant	Outlet	With US
	Flow	Valve	Drives
		Control	VFD
30 HP	None	\$3,360	\$15,500
50 HP	None	\$5,600	\$25,800
100 HP	None	\$11,200	\$51,600
250 HP	None	\$28,000	\$129,200
400 HP	None	\$44,800	\$206,600

\*Based on a conservative \$.10 per kilowatt hour, zero static head and 8000 hours of operation per year.

The information necessary to run a VFD Payback Analysis for your pump system is indicated on the "Centrifugal Pumps Energy Savings Program Data" sheet (Doc. # 3011)









APPLICATION NOTES						
- Centrifugal Pumps	- E	nergy Sa	avings	/ VFD	) Pa	yback Analysis
CUSTOMER DATA:				DATE	E:	
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	IATE/PROV	Z	IP/PU5		JDE	
	PHONE					
APPLICATION PARAMETER	RS:			DAT	Ά	
PUMP EFFICIENCY						%
DESIGN FLOW						GPM
DESIGN HEAD						FEET (WATER)
STATIC HEAD						FEET (WATER)
MOTOR HP						HP
MOTOR VOLTAGE						VOLTS
MOTOR EFFICIENCY						%
COST OF ELECTRICITY						/KWH
METHOD OF CONTROL (SP	ECIFY 1 or 2)					SELECTION
1: UNCONTROLLED 2: OUTLET VALVE						
DUTY CYCLE (SPECIFY 1 or	2)		···· <u> </u>			SELECTION
1: USE TYPICAL DUTY CYCLE AND <b>S</b> 2: USER SUPPLIED (SEE BELOW)	PECIFY TOTAL OPI	ERATING HO	OURS/YE	AR		HOURS
DUTY CYCLE DATA:						
OPERATING POINT 1	2 3 4	5	6	7	8	TOTALS
% FLOW						
HOURS						





# **APPLICATION NOTES**

#### - Cooling Tower Fans -

Energy Savings / VFD Payback Analysis

The cooling tower is a simple device. It moves air through falling water to cool the water. The air absorbs heat by carrying away hot water vapor and thus cools the remaining water.

What makes cooling tower analysis and design seemingly difficult is the thermodynamic characteristics of air and water. As air moves through water, it absorbs heat depending upon the difference between the "wet bulb" temperature of the air and the inlet water temperature. This difference dictates how much heat is eliminated per unit of air and water. To increase heat removal at steady state temperatures, the flow of air through the water must be increased. To decrease heat removal, the flow of air through the water must be decreased. The amount of heat removal is directly proportional to the flow of air through the water.

The function of the cooling tower is to deliver outlet water at a specific temperature to permit optimum heat removal at the chiller or other heat source. As the "wet bulb" temperature varies, the heat removal capacity of cooling tower varies. The colder the "wet bulb" temperature of the air is, the less the flow of air required to remove a given amount of heat.

A cooling tower fan is designed to supply adequate air to cool the water to a specific temperature when the air is very hot and humid (design "wet bulb" temperature). The atmosphere, however, is at this design point condition only 2 to 5% of the operational time. Consequently, reductions in air flow can be achieved 95 to 98% of the time.

Remembering fan fundamentals, if one reduces the flow of air by use of motor speed control, one can save energy by the cube of the speed reduction ratio. Thus, a 10% flow decrease can produce a 27% reduction in power use.

Table 1 shows typical savings realized by applying **US DRIVES VFD's** to cooling tower fans. Although each system has its own characteristics, (length of cooling season, fan curve, fan efficiency, design point, etc.) typical operating costs and savings on different motors can be estimated.

Table 1
Typical Savings Per Year For Cooling Tower Fans <sup>®</sup>

-		
Single Cell	VFD applied to	VFD applied to
ΗP	On/Off	Two/Speed
	Control	Motor Control
25 HP	\$2,425	\$1,020
50 HP	\$4,850	\$2,045
100 HP	\$9,700	\$4,090
150 HP	\$14,550	\$6,130
200 HP	\$19 400	\$8 170

\*Based on a conservative \$.05 per kilowatt hour and cooling season of 3000 hours.

The information necessary to run a VFD Payback Analysis for your cooling tower fan system is indicated on the "Cooling Tower Fans Energy Savings Program Data" sheet (Doc. # 3012).





CUST	OMER	DATA:
------	------	-------

DATE	

CUSTOMER NAME			
PROJECT NAME			
CITY	_STATE/PROV	ZIP/POSTAL CODE	
CONTACT	PHONE		

#### **APPLICATION PARAMETERS:** DATA NUMBER OF CELLS ..... (8, 6, 4, 2, 1)CELL FAN EFFICIENCY % SINGLE CELL DESIGN FLOW ..... \_\_\_\_\_ CFM SINGLE CELL DESIGN PRESSURE ..... \_\_\_\_\_ PSI IN. OF WATER MOTOR HP ..... HP MOTOR VOLTAGE ..... VOLTS MOTOR EFFICIENCY ..... \_\_\_\_\_ % COST OF ELECTRICITY ..... \_\_\_\_\_ /KWH \_\_\_\_\_ SELECTION METHOD OF CONTROL (SPECIFY 1 or 2) ..... 1: ON/OFF CONTROL 2: TWO SPEED MOTOR TOTAL HOURS OF OPERATION ..... HOURS